

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Iress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. O LIT-016 KLITZNER 09/696,238 10/26/00 **EXAMINER** TM02/0711 HERTEN, BURSTEIN, SHERIDAN, CEVASCO, CHIANG, J ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BOTTINELLI & LITT, L.L.C. COURT PLAZA NORTH 2642 25 MAIN STREET HACKENSACK NJ 07601 DATE MAILED: 07/11/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

pr b

	Application No.	pplicant(s)	tner et Al
Office Action Summary	Examiner J. Chian	Group Art	t Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet ben	eath the corresponde	ence address-
Period for Response	7		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS S MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE -3	MONTH(S) FROM	THE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defative to respond within the set or extended period for response will, less than the set or extended period for response will, less than the set or extended period for response will, less than the set or extended period for response will, less than the set or extended period for response will, less than the set or extended period for response will, less than the set or extended period for response will, less than the set or extended period for response will, less than the set or extended period for response will, less than the set or extended period for response will be set or extended period fo	a response within the statutory ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	minimum of thirty (30) days	will be considered timely.
Status	n (0		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	7-26-00		
☐ This action is FINAL.			•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935		ution as to the merits	is closed in
Disposition of Claims			
Claim(s)		is/are pending in t	he application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn f	rom consideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.	
Claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)			
Application Papers			
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	·		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	* *	disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ed to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un	lor 35 S.C. & 11 Q(a)-(d)		
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the certain in this national stage application from the Interest of the certain in the Interest of	ne priority documents have	been	
*Certified copies not received:	•		
Attachment(s)		•	
Nnformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(e) 🗀 Into	rview Summary, PTO-4	112
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		ice of Informal Patent A	
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		er	
		<u> </u>	
Office	Action Summary		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Art Unit: 2642

CLAIMS

112 Rejection

1. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 is depending from itself, correction is required.

Art Rejection

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Larkin (US 3993879).

Regarding claim 1, Larkin shows a telephone system comprising:

A plurality of operating components (in 21) for transmitting outgoing signals and receiving incoming signals;

An interface (10, 22) including:

A speaker (24, see also 25); and

A speaker sound tube (10) which has a first end (see 20) and a second end (15).

Art Unit: 2642

Regarding claim 12, Larkin shows a telephone system comprising:

A plurality of operating components (in 21) for transmitting outgoing signals and receiving incoming signals;

An interface (10, 22) including:

A housing (22);

A speaker (24, see also 25); and

A speaker sound tube (10, 14) which has a first end (see 20) and a second end (15).

Regarding claims 2-5, 13-15, Larkin shows:

A jack (receiving interface 22) which has incoming and outgoing signals;

The earpiece (15);

A microphone (23, see also 25);

A microphone sound tube (see 10, 14) having a first end (see 20) and a second end (16).

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6, 9-11, 16, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larkin in view of Furuno (US 5281554).



Art Unit: 2642

Regarding claims 6, 9-11, 16, 19-20, Larkin shows:

The interface (10, 22) having the speaker and the microphone.

Larkin differs from the claimed invention in that it does not explicitly mention that the interface is a part of the telephone or the battery.

However, Furnno teaches providing an interface (see the microphone mounting) which can a part of the telephone housing (fig. 2a) or a part of a battery (fig. 2b). Further, from various mounting of the interface in various embodiments of the present application, such as the mounting of the interface in the jack, the telephone housing, the battery etc., it can be seen that there is no teaching of criticality for one mounting environment over the other. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to provide Larkin's interface in the jack, the phone housing or the battery with/without the teaching of Furuno. This simply can be considered as a variation of Larkin as long as the basic concept of providing the speaker/microphone interface and their sound tubes is substantially unchanged. This can also be seen that the operation of the combination is not changed when the mounting environment is changed as shown in the present application.

6. Claims 7-8, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larkin in view of Milani (US 3918550).

Regarding claims 7-8, 17-18, Larkin shows the sound tube (10, 14),

Larkin differs from the claimed invention in that it does not have a valve or volume control.

Art Unit: 2642

However, Milani teaches providing a valve or volume control (21) for a sound tube. Hence, it is commonly seen that volume control is required in sound processing because different users have different preferences when using a sound processing device. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify Larkin's sound tube with a valve/volume control as taught by Milani, such that to control the volume of the device in order to accommodate different users (col. 4, lines 17-23 in Milani).

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Larkin (US 4118606) is similar to Larkin (US 3993879), but to simplify the office action, one Larkin is used only.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Chiang whose telephone number is 703-305-4728. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Admad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Art Unit: 2642

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2642